•	UGHBY & HOEFER	8032568062 T-6	510 P.002/002 F-778 1884
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLI	NA)	ВЕГОЙ	
(Caption of Case)		PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA	
Application of Carolina Water	Service, Inc. for		
approval of an expansion of its existing sewer service		COVER SHEET	
area to include certain portions			>
adjacent to its Watergate Service	-	DOCKET	_
contract with Harrison Develop a bulk sewage treatment and se		NUMBER: 2007	_ <u>280 </u>
with the Town of Lexington.)		
William Towns of Desired)		
)		
(Please type or print) Submitted by: John M.S. Hoefer		SC Bar Number: 2549	
		Telephone: 803-252	
Address: Post Office Box 8416		Fax: 803-771 Other:	-2410
Columbia, SC 29202			
		Email: ihoefer@willoughb	yhoefer.com
NOTE: The cover sheet and informati as required by law. This form is requ be filled out completely.			
☐ Emergency Relief demanded ☐ Other:		equest for item to be placed or peditiously	n Commission's Agenda
INDUSTRY (Check one)	NATUR	NATURE OF ACTION (Check all that apply)	
Electric	ΩAffidaviτ	∠ Letter	Request
Electric/Gas	Agreement	Memorandum	Request for Certification
Electric/Telecommunications	Answer	Motion	Request for Investigation
Electric/Water	Appellate Review	Objection	Resale Agreement
Electric/Water/Telecom.	Application	Petition	Resale Amendment
Electric/Water/Sewer	Brief	Petition for Reconsideration	Reservation Letter
Gas	Ccrtificate	Petition for Rulemaking	Response
Railroad	Comments	Petition for Rule to Show Cause	Response to Discovery
X Sewer	Complaint	Petition to Intervene	Rcturn to Petition
Telecommunications	Consent Order	Petition to Intervene Out of Tim	e Stipulation
Transportation	Discovery	Prefiled Testimony	Subpoena
☐ Water	Exhibit	Promotion	☐ Tariff
Water/Sewer	Expedited Consideration	Proposed Order	Other:
Administrative Matter	Interconnection Agreement	Protest	COPY
Other:	Interconnection Amendment	L	1.1
	Late-Filed Exhibit	Rерогt	Posted: Mid
	Print Form	Reset Form	Dept: SA-
	77meronn		Date: 10/3/07
		ר	Time: 9:50

WILLOUGHBY & HOEFER, P.A.

ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS AT LAW
930 RICHLAND STREET
P.O. BOX 8416
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29202-8416

MITCHELL M. WILLOUGHBY JOHN M.S. HOEFER ELIZABETH ZECK* RANDOLPH R. LOWELL NOAH M. HICKS II** BENJAMIN P. MUSTIAN M. MCMULLEN TAYLOR

October 2, 2007

AREA CODE 803 TELEPHONE 252-3300 TELECOPIER 256-8062

TRACEY C. GREEN

*ALSO ADMITTED IN TX

**ALSO ADMITTED IN VA

VIA HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk / Administrator Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, South Carolina 29210-8411

Application of Carolina Water Service, Inc.; Docket No. 2007-280-S

Dear Mr. Terreni:

RE:

I am writing to respond to the September 26, 2007, letter to you from Representative Ted Pitts regarding the above-captioned matter. I appreciate very much your providing me with an opportunity to do so prior to tomorrow's Commission Supplemental Agenda meeting.

Permit me to preface the substantive portion of this letter by stating that Carolina Water Service, Inc. ("CWS") certainly respects Representative Pitts' views and recognizes that he is writing to the Commission in his capacity as an elected representative of citizens in Lexington County. CWS is compelled to respond, however, because it has a contractual obligation to the developer and the Town of Lexington to seek Commission approval of the contracts subject of the application based upon the law and facts.

In regard to Representative Pitts' request to be heard in opposition to the application, CWS respectfully objects on the ground that Representative Pitts has no standing in this matter. He is neither a party of record in the matter, nor a customer of CWS, nor a legal representative of any person or entity that could have become a party of record in the matter. Given these facts, consideration of his request by the Commission would violate both S.C. Const. art. I, § 8 and art. I, § 22.

Furthermore, there is no basis for a hearing to be set in this matter inasmuch as no party of record has raised any issue which would require a hearing. As you are aware, the return date in this matter has expired and no petition to intervene or protest has been filed. Nor has any petition to intervene out of time been filed. Furthermore, the Office of Regulatory Staff has not

RECEIVED

(Continued...)

OCT 0 3 2007

PSC SC DOCKETING DEPT. objected to the application or requested that any hearing be held. CWS therefore objects to any hearing being set.

Unfortunately, CWS must respectfully disagree with some of the principal factual assertions and underlying assumptions of Representative Pitts' letter. For example, the Water Quality Management Plan adopted by the Central Midlands Council of Governments under Section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act ("208 Plan") does not show any part of the proposed subdivision as being in the Town of Lexington's **service** area; rather, it shows all of it being in the Town of Lexington's **designated management agency** area. As a designated management agent, the Town is authorized to determine how wastewater flow in its area will be handled. The 208 Plan also shows that approximately 10% of the proposed subdivision is already in CWS's PSC approved service area, as is reflected in the revised Schedule 2 filed by the Applicant on April 15, 2007. And, there are other portions of the Town of Lexington's management area which are served by CWS.

The contention that customers in the proposed development "will be subjected to higher rates" as a result of the contract between CWS and the Town is speculative. CWS would also note that the collection rates proposed in the application are rates already determined by the Commission and are therefore deemed just and reasonable as a matter of law. Moreover, the entirety of the proposed subdivision, as well as the balance of the area for which expansion is sought, is outside the Town's corporate limits. Therefore, customers in that area would be subject to the Town's "out-of-town" rates. And, as you are aware, there is no regulation of municipal rates. Finally, any person who decides to construct a residence in the proposed development will be making a conscious decision to utilize the collection services of CWS and will become entitled to all of the protections afforded customers under law – including the right to petition the Commission for relief if circumstances warrant. Thus, concern over the protection of potential future customers is not a basis upon which to conduct a hearing or deny the application.

Finally, the Town has made a decision to enter into this contract with CWS in the exercise of its discretion. In the exercise of this discretion, the Town has chosen not to construct utility collection only facilities to this area which is outside of the Town's municipal limits and instead has chosen to provide only transportation service. CWS is unaware of any legal authority for the proposition that a third party's disagreement with the Town's discretionary action can form the basis for preventing the Town from giving effect to its decision.

In conclusion, CWS respectfully requests that the request for a hearing be denied and that the application be approved based upon the written documentation previously submitted.

If you have any questions, or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me. With best regards, I am

Respectfully,

WILLOUGHBY & HOEFER, P.A.

John M.S. Hoefer

JMSH/twb

cc: Honorable Randy Halfacre

Honorable Edward H. "Ted" Pitts, Jr.

Shannon B. Hudson, Esquire

Bradford T. Cunningham, Esquire